

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/342,768	06/29/1999	SCOTT BERMINGHAM DOYLE	17286	7075		
75	90 11/21/2002					
THE WHITA	KER CORPORATION	Ī	EXAMINER			
SUITE 450	IDEN HILL ROAD		NGUYEN, THUAN T			
WILMINGTON, DE 19808			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2684			
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	,	Application No. <b>09/342,768</b>	Applicant(s)  Doyle et al.		
M	Office Action Summary	Examiner Thuan Nguyen		Art Unit 2684	
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears for Reply	on the cover sheet wi	th the corres	spondence addi	ress
A SHOTHE No. 1 Extens mailing - If the part of the par	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, may a rep the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will b S from the mailin NDONED (35 U.S	after SIX (6) MONT e considered timely. ng date of this comm S.C. § 133).	
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if the	екутпес, гнау ге	duce any	
Status 1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b This ac	ction is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$	The state of the s			ne merits is
	tion of Claims				
4) (X)	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>		is/are	e pending in th	e application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn f	rom consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed	l <b>.</b>
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>			is/are rejected	j.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objecte	d to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subje	ct to restric	ction and/or el	ection requirement.
Applica	ition Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) $\square$ accepted or	o) 🗆 objecte	ed to by the Ex	kaminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85	(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□	approved	b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
`	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha				<u> </u>
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burnet the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed.</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	this National	Stage
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			(e).	
_	The translation of the foreign language provision	•			
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	• •			
Attachm					
1) V No	stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summery (	2TO-413) Paner	No(e)	

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Serial Number: 09/342,768 Page 2

Art Unit: 2684

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blasing et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,771,449) in view of Langston (U.S. Patent No. 6,101,174).

Regarding claim 1, Blasing et al (or "Blasing" hereinafter) discloses a local multipoint distribution service system (LDMS) having an antenna for transmitting a signal of reused frequency within a specified range from the antenna (see Figs. 1-3 & 19-24, col. 7/lines 8-53 for antenna using in LDMS system, and col. 13/line 60 to col. 14/line 15 for LDMS issue, and col. 5/lines 25-33 for frequency re-use), the antenna having multiple radiating antenna elements (see

Serial Number: 09/342,768 Page 3

Art Unit: 2684

col. 22/lines 35-50 and col. 24/lines 45-50 for antennas which distribute power to the individual radiating elements of antennas), each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and in amplitude of radiated signal across the radiating elements to mitigate radiation above the horizon, i.e., radiation or signal power output can be attenuated above the horizon and each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and in amplitude of radiated signal therefrom to decrease attenuation in radiated power with distance from the antenna (see col. 21/lines 40-53 to ensure the attenuation among radiated power from nearby antennas).

Blasing might not clearly show that the step of "each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and in amplitude of radiated signal across the radiating elements to mitigate radiation above the horizon" as argued by the Applicant; however, in the same field of endeavor, Langston clearly teaches that the phase shifts and the amplitude of radiated signals across the radiating elements of an antenna array (Figs. 6-7) can be adjusted, for example, the stubs 83 can be adjusted for the phase shifts and the amplifiers 67 for amplifying or amplitude adjusting for an antenna array in a LMDS system (col. 6/lines 8-22 & col. 6/line 23 to col. 7/line 22 for LMDS system). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Blasing's system with Langston's technique of adjusting in phase and amplitude as disclosed in order to obtain an enhanced LMDS system that can adjust the phase and amplitude of radiated signal across the radiating elements of an antenna array to mitigate radiation above the horizon for decreasing the attenuation in radiated power with distance from the antenna as desired.

Serial Number: 09/342,768

Art Unit: 2684

As for claim 2, in further view of claim 1 above, Blasing further suggests the step of "each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and amplitude of signal across the antenna elements to mitigate nulls between lobes of combined radiated signals collectively from the antenna elements", i.e., the maximum and minimum power level is maintained by implementing the low side lobe or shape beam antennas in adjacent sectors (see col. 23/lines 35-50).

Page 4

With respect to claim 3, in further view of claim 1 above, Blasing further reveals "each of the antenna elements being adjusted in phase and in amplitude of signal across the antenna elements to reduce excess signal power at near range", i.e., an excess power output is reduced at near range or at adjacent sectors by eliminating unwanted energy from using low sidelobe antennas (see col. 22/lines 35-50).

As for claims 4-6, a corresponding method for use in the disclosed system is rejected for the reasons given in the scope of the system claims 1-3 as already disclosed above.

Serial Number: 09/342,768

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706:07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II,

2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

X T.N

Page 5

Serial Number: 09/342,768 Page 6

Art Unit: 2684

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Thuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-5860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Hunter, can be reached at (703) 308-6732.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

May (

Tony T. Nguyen Art Unit 2684

November 13, 2001